CropWatch Bulletin

QUARTERLY REPORT ON GLOBAL CROP PRODUCTION

Monitoring Period: October 2017 – January 2018

February 28, 2018 Vol. 18, No. 1 (total No. 108)



Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth Chinese Academy of Sciences

Crophatch

February 2018 Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI), Chinese Academy of Sciences P.O. Box 9718-29, Olympic Village Science Park West Beichen Road, Chaoyang Beijing 100101, China

This bulletin is produced by the CropWatch research team at the Digital Agriculture Division, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI), Chinese Academy of Sciences, under the overall guidance of Professor Bingfang Wu.

Contributors are Jose Bofana, Sheng Chang, Bulgan Davdai, Wenwen Gao, René Gommes, Zhaoxin He, Mingyong Li, Wenjun Liu, Olipa N. Lungu, Zonghan Ma, Jai Singh Parihar, Elijah Phiri, Mohsen N. Ramadan, Shen Tan, Fuyou Tian, Battestseg Tuvdendorj, Linjiang Wang, Meiling Wang, Bingfang Wu, Qiang Xing, Jie Xiong, Jiaming Xu, Nana Yan, Mingzhao Yu, Hongwei Zeng, Miao Zhang, Xin Zhang, Dan Zhao, Xinfeng Zhao, Liang Zhu and Weiwei Zhu.

Thematic contributors for this bulletin include: Wenjiang Huang (huangwj@radi.ac.cn) and Yingying Dong (dongyy@radi.ac.cn) for the section on pest and diseases monitoring; Fengying Nie (niefengying@sohu.com) and Xuebiao Zhang (zhangxuebiao@caas.cn) for the section on food import and export outlook for 2017.

Corresponding author: Professor Bingfang Wu Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences Fax: +8610-64858721, E-mail: **cropwatch@radi.ac.cn**, **wubf@radi.ac.cn**

CropWatch Online Resources: This bulletin along with additional resources is also available on the CropWatch Website at http://www.cropwatch.com.cn.

Disclaimer: This bulletin is a product of the CropWatch research team at the Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI), Chinese Academy of Sciences. The findings and analyses described in this bulletin do not necessarily reflect the views of the Institute or the Academy; the CropWatch team also does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. RADI and CAS are not responsible for any losses as a result of the use of this data. The boundaries used for the maps are the GAUL boundaries (Global Administrative Unit Layers) maintained by FAO; where applicable official Chinese boundaries have been used. The boundaries and markings on the maps do not imply a formal endorsement or opinion by any of the entities involved with this bulletin.

Contents

U <i>Note:</i> CropWatch resources, background materials and additional data are available online at www.cropwatch.com.cn.		
Contents	iii	
Abbreviations	ix	
Bulletin overview and reporting period	x	
Executive summary	11	
Chapter 1. Global agroclimatic patterns		
1.1 Overview	14	
1.2 Abnormal rainfall patterns	14	
1.3 Abnormal temperature patterns		
1.4 Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) patterns		
1.5 Biomass Production Potential (BIOMSS) patterns		
1.6 Combinations of departures		
Chapter 2. Crop and environmental conditions in major production zones		
2.1 Overview		
2.2 West Africa		
2.3 North America		
2.4 South America		
2.5 South and Southeast Asia		
2.6 Western Europe		
2.7 Central Europe to Western Russia		
Chapter 3. Main producing and exporting countries		
3.1 Overview		
3.2 Country analysis		
Chanter 4 China	179	
A 1 Overview	129	
4.1 Overview		
4.2 Regional analysis		
4.5 Pest and diseases monitoring	135	
Chapter 5. Focus and perspectives	143	
5.1 Production outlook	143	
5.2 Disaster events	144	
5.3 Focus: Perspectives in crop production in Africa	147	
5.4 Update on El Niño	153	
Annex A. Agroclimatic indicators and BIOMSS	155	
Annex B. 2017-2018 production estimates	161	
Annex C. Quick reference to CropWatch indicators, spatial units and methodologies	162	
Data notes and bibliography	171	
Acknowledgments	174	
Online resources	175	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. October 2017 to January 2018 agroclimatic indicators by Major Production Zone, current value and	
departure from 15YA1	9
Table 2.2. October 2017 to January 2018 agronomic indicators by Major Production Zone, current season values	
and departure from 5YA1	9
Table 3.1. CropWatch agroclimatic and agronomic indicators for October 2017 to January 2018, departure from 5YA and 15YA	6
Table 3.2. Argentina agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	Ũ
15YA October 2017-January 2018	ი
Table 3.3. Argentina agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	Ū
5YA. October 2017-January 2018	0
Table 3.4. CropWatch-estimated wheat production for Argentina in 2017-2018 (thousand tons)	0
Table 3.5. Australia agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and denarture from	Č
15YA. October 2017-lanuary 2018.	3
Table 3.6. Australia agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	-
5YA October 2017-January 2018	z
Table 3.7. CropWatch-estimated wheat production for Australia in 2017-2018 (thousand tons)	3
Table 3.8 Bangladesh agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and denarture from	้า
15YA October 2017-January 2018	6
Table 3.9 Bangladesh agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and denarture from	Č
5YA. October 2017-January 2018.	6
Table 3.10. Brazil agro-climatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	Ũ
15YA. October 2017 to January 2018	0
Table 3.11. Brazil agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5YA.	Ū
October 2017-lanuary 2018	1
Table 3.12 CropWatch-estimated wheat production for Brazil in 2017-2018 (thousand tons)	1
Table 3.13. Canada agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	-
15YA. October 2017-January 2018	3
Table 3.14. Canada agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5Y	A.
October 2017-January 2018	́з
Table 3.15. Germany agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	
15YA, October 2017-January 2018	7
Table 3.16. Germany agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season values and departure from	
5YA, October 2017-January 20185	7
Table 3.17. Egypt agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	
15YA, October 2017-January 20186	0
Table 3.18. Egypt agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5YA,	
October 2017-January 20186	0
Table 3.19. Ethiopia agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	
15YA, October 2017-January 20186	3
Table 3.20. Ethiopia agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	
5YA, October 2017-January 20186	3
Table 3.21. France agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	
15YA, October 2017-January 20186	7
Table 3.22. France agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season values and departure from	
5YA,October 2017-January 20186	7
Table 3.23. United Kingdom agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departur	e
from 15YA, October 2017-January 20187	0
Table 3.24. United Kingdom agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure	
from 5YA, October 2017-January 20187	0
Table 3.25. Indonesia agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from	I
15YA, October 2017-January 20187	3
Table 3.26. Indonesia agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season values and departure from	
5YA, October 2017-January 20187	3

Table 3.27. India agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 15YA October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.28. India agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5YA, October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.29 Iran agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 15YA
October 2017-January 2018
Table 3 30 Iran agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season values and departure from 5YA
October 2017 January 2018
Table 2.21 Kazakhstan agreelimatic indicators by agreecelogical zenes, current season valuess and departure
from 1EVA. October 2017 January 2019
Table 2.22. Kazakhstan, agranamic indicators by agraecological zonas, surrent sascen valuess and departure from
Table 3.32. Razakristan, agronomic mulcators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
5YA, October 2017-January 20188: Table 2.22. Combodia encodimentia indicators by concentrational encoder surfaces and departure from
Table 3.33. Cambodia agrocilmatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.34. Cambodia agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
SYA, October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.35. Mexico agrocilmatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.36. Mexico agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5YA
October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.37. Myanmar agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017 - January 2018
Table 3.38. Myanmar agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
5YA, October 2017 - January 2018
Table 3.39. Nigeria agrocilimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.40. Nigeria agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5YA
October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.41. Pakistan agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 20189
Table 3.42. Pakistan, agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
5YA, October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.43. Philippines agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure
from 15YA, October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.44. Philippines agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
5YA, October 2017-January 2018100
Table 3.45. Poland agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018103
Table 3.46. Poland agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5YA
October 2017-January 2018
Table 3.47. Romania agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018105
Table 3.48. Romania agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
5YA, October 2017-January 2018105
Table 3.49. Russia agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018108
Table 3.50. Russia agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from 5YA,
October 2017-January 2018108
Table 3.51. Thailand agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018112
Table 3.52. Thailand agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
5YA, October 2017-January 201811
Table 3.53.Turkey agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure from
15YA. October 2017-January 2018

Table 3.54. Turkey agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure	from 5YA,
October 2017-January 2018	114
Table 3.55. Ukraine agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departu	ire from
15YA, October 2017-January 2018	117
Table 3.56. Ukraine agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and departure	e from 5YA
October 2017-January 2018	117
Table 3.57. United States agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and de	eparture
from 15YA, October 2017-January 2018	119
Table 3.58. United States agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and dep	arture
from SYA, October 2017-January 2018	
Table 3.59. Uzbekistan agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and depa	arture
Trom 15YA, October 2017-January 2018	
Table 3.60. Uzbekistan agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season valuess and depar	ture from
SYA, October 2017-January 2018	122
15VA October 2017-January 2018	125 re from
Table 3 62 Vietnam agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season values and departure	from 5VA
October 2017-January 2018	125
Table 3.63 South Africa agroclimatic indicators by agroecological zones, current season values and den	arture
from 15YA, October 2017-January 2018.	
Table 3.64. South Africa agronomic indicators by agroecological zones, current season values and depar	ture from
5YA, October 2017-January 2018	128
Table 3.65. CropWatch estimated wheat production for South Africa in 2017-2018 (thousands tons)	128
Table 4.1. CropWatch agroclimatic and agronomic indicators for China, October 2017 to January 2018, o	departure
from 5YA and 15YA	130
Table 4.2. Statistics of wheat yellow rust in China (2018)	139
Table 4.3. Statistics of wheat sheath blight forecasts in China (2018)	140
Table 4.4. Statistics of wheat aphids forecasting in China (2018)	141
Table A.1. October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass by global Monitoring and F	Reporting
Unit	155
Table A.2. October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass by country	156
Table A.3. Argentina, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by province)	157
Table A.4. Australia, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)	157
Table A.5. Brazil, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)	157
Table A.6. Canada, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by province)	157
Table A.7. India, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)	158
Table A.8. Kazakhstan, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by oblast)	158
Table A.9. Russia, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by oblast, kray and	d republic)
	159
Table A.10. United States, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)	160
Table A.11. China, October 2017 – January 2018 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by province)	160
Table B.1. Argentina, 2017-2018 wheat production, by province (thousand tons)	161
Table B.2. Brazil, 2017-2018 wheat production, by state (thousand tons)	161
Table B.3. Australia, 2017-2018 wheat production, by state (thousand tons)	161
Table C.1. Criteria for wheat yellow rust occurrence level	169
Table C.2. Criteria for wheat sheath blight occurrence level	170
Table C.3. Criteria for wheat aphid occurrence level	170

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Global map of October 2017 to January 2018 rainfall anomaly (as indicated by the RAIN indi-	cator) by
MRU, departure from 15YA (percentage)	15
Figure 1.2. Global map of temperature anomaly (as indicated by the TEMP indicator) by country and su	b-national
areas, departure from 15YA (degrees Celsius)	15

Figure 1.3. Global map of PAR anomaly (as indicated by the RADPAR indicator) by country and sub-national a departure from 15YA (percentage)	areas, 16
Figure 1.4. Global map of biomass anomaly (as indicated by the BIOMSS indicator) by country and sub-natio	nal
areas. departure from 5YA (percentage)	
Figure 1.5. Global weather conditions departing from their respective averages between October 2017 and	
January 2018.	18
Figure 2.1. West Africa MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2017 to January 2018	20
Figure 2.2. North America MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2017 to January 2018	22
Figure 2.3. South America MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2017 to January 2018	23
Figure 2.4. South and Southeast Asia MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2017 to January	2018
	25
Figure 2.5. Western Europe MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2017 to January 2018	27
Figure 2.6. Central Europe-Western Russia MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2017 to Jar	nuary
2018.	29
Figure 3.1. Global map of October 2017 to January 2018 rainfall (RAIN) by country and sub-national areas,	
departure from 15YA (percentage)	33
Figure 3.2. Global map of October 2017 to January 2018 temperature (TEMP) by country and sub-national a	reas,
departure from 15YA (degrees)	34
Figure 3.3. Global map of October 2017 to January 2018 PAR (RADPAR) by country and sub-national areas,	
departure from 15YA (percentage)	35
Figure 3.4. Global map of October 2017 to January 2018 biomass (BIOMSS) by country and sub-national area	as,
departure from 15YA (percentage)	36
Figure 3.5. Argentina crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	38
Figure 3.6. Australia crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	41
Figure 3.7. Bangladesh crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	44
Figure 3.8. Brazil crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	48
Figure 3.9. Canada crop condition, October 2017– January2018	52
Figure 3.10. Germany crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	55
Figure 3.11. Egypt crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	58
Figure 3.12. Ethiopia crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	61
Figure 3.13. France crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	65
Figure 3.14. United Kingdom crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	68
Figure 3.15. Indonesia crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	71
Figure 3.16. India crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	75
Figure 3.17. Iran crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	78
Figure 3.18. Kazakhstan crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	81
Figure 3.19. Cambodia crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	84
Figure 3.20. Mexico crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	86
Figure 3.21. Myanmar crop condition, October 2017 - January 2018	89
Figure 3.22. Nigeria crop condition, October 2017-January 2018	92
Figure 3.23. Pakistan crop condition, October 2017- January 2018	95
Figure 3.24. Philippines crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	98
Figure 3.25. Poland crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	101
Figure 3.26. Romania crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	104
Figure 3.27. Russia crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	106
Figure 3.28. Thailand crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	110
Figure 3.29. Turkey crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	112
Figure 3.30. Ukraine crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	115
Figure 3.31. United States crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	118
Figure 3.32. Uzbekistan crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	120
Figure 3.33. Vietnam crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	123
Figure 3.34. South Africa crop condition, October 2017 -January 2018	126
Figure 4.1. China spatial distribution of rainfall profiles, October 2017 to January 2018	130
Figure 4.2. China spatial distribution of temperature profiles, October 2017 to January 2018	130

Figure 4.3. Cropped and uncropped arable land over winter crops producing provinces, by pixel, October 20)17 to
January 2018	130
Figure 4.4. China maximum Vegetation Condition Index (VCIx), by pixel, October 2017 to January 2018	130
Figure 4.5. Crop condition China Northeast region, October 2017 to January 2018	132
Figure 4.6. Crop condition China Inner Mongolia, October 2017 to January 2018	133
Figure 4.7. Crop condition China Huanghuaihai, October 2017 to January 2018	134
Figure 4.8. Crop condition China Loess region, October 2017 to January 2018	135
Figure 4.9. Crop condition Lower Yangtze region, October 2017 to January 2018	136
Figure 4.10. Crop condition Southwest China region, October 2017 to January 2018	137
Figure 4.11. Crop condition Southern China region, October 2017 to January 2018	138
Figure 4.12. Distribution of wheat yellow rust in China (2018)	139
Figure 4.13. Distribution of wheat sheath blight in China (2018)	140
Figure 4.14. Distribution of wheat aphids in China (2018)	140
Figure 4.15. Rate of change of imports and exports for rice, wheat, maize, and soybean in China in 2018 con	mpared
to those for 2017(%)	142
Figure 5.1. Impact of tropical storm Tembin (Vinta) in Mindanao island, Philippines.	146
Figure 5.2: Theewaterskloof dam, the main water supply for Cape Town, South Africa, on 8 February 2018.	146
Figure 5.3: Agriculture as share of total GDP in 2014. Source: OECD-FAO 2016, based on World Bank data. I	DRC:
democratic Republic of the Congo	147
Figure 5.4: percentage of irrigated land. Red: up to 20%; green more than 20% and up to 70%; white: unirri	gated.
Based on Siebert at al., 2013	150
Figure 5.5: Human pressure on land and water. Source of data: FAO, 2011	151
Figure 5.6: Ratio between actual crop productions in the year 2000 and that potentially achievable under	
advanced farming in current cultivated land for a combination of major crops. Source: FAO, 2011	151
Figure 5.7: Percentage of cropland per pixel. Data from Fritz et al 2015.	152
Figure 5.8. Monthly SOI-BOM time series for January 2017 to January 2018	153
Figure 5.9. Map of NINO Region	153
Figure 5.10. Sea surface temperature anomalies (December, 2017)	154

Abbreviations

5YA	Five-year average, the average for the four-month period for October from 2012 to
	2016 to January next year; one of the standard reference periods.
15YA	Fifteen-year average, the average for the four-month period from October from
	2012 to 2016 to January next year; one of the standard reference periods and
	typically referred to as "average".
AEZ	Agro-Ecological Zone
BIOMSS	CropWatch agroclimatic indicator for biomass production potential
BOM	Australian Bureau of Meteorology
CALF	Cropped Arable Land Fraction
CAS	Chinese Academy of Sciences
CWAI	CropWatch Agroclimatic Indicator
CWSU	CropWatch Spatial Units
DM	Dry matter
EC/JRC	European Commission Joint Research Centre
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
GAUL	Global Administrative Units Layer
GVG	GPS, Video, and GIS data
На	hectare
Kcal	kilocalorie
MPZ	Major Production Zone
MRU	Monitoring and Reporting Unit
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
OISST	Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation
PET	Potential Evapotranspiration
RADI	CAS Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth
RADPAR	CropWatch PAR agroclimatic indicator
RAIN	CropWatch rainfall agroclimatic indicator
SOI	Southern Oscillation Index
TEMP	CropWatch air temperature agroclimatic indicator
Ton	Thousand kilograms
VCIx	CropWatch maximum Vegetation Condition Index
VHI	CropWatch Vegetation Health Index
VHIn	CropWatch minimum Vegetation Health Index
W/m²	Watt per square meter

Bulletin overview and reporting period

This CropWatch bulletin presents a global overview of crop stage and condition between October 2017 and January 2018, a period referred to in this bulletin as the ONDJ (October, November, December and January) period or just the "reporting period." The bulletin is the 108th such publication issued by the CropWatch group at the Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing.

CropWatch analyses and indicators

CropWatch analyses are based mostly on several standard as well as new ground-based and remote sensing indicators, following a hierarchical approach. The analyses cover large global zones; major producing countries of maize, rice, wheat, and soybean; and detailed assessments for Chinese regions, 30 major agricultural countries and 148 Agro-Ecological Zones (AEZs) for those 30 key countries. In parallel to an increasing spatial precision of the analyses, indicators become more focused on agriculture as the analyses zoom in to smaller spatial units.

CropWatch uses two sets of indicators: (i) agroclimatic indicators—RAIN, TEMP, and RADPAR, which describe weather factors; and (ii) agronomic indicators—BIOMSS, VHIn, CALF, and VCIx, describing crop condition and development. Importantly, the indicators RAIN, TEMP, RADPAR, and BIOMSS do not directly describe the weather variables rain, temperature, radiation, or biomass, but rather they are spatial averages over agricultural areas, which are weighted according to the local crop production potential. For each reporting period, the bulletin reports on the *departures* for all seven indicators, which (with the exception of TEMP) are expressed in relative terms as a percentage change compared to the average value for that indicator for the last five or fifteen years (depending on the indicator). For more details on the CropWatch indicators and spatial units used for the analysis, please see the quick reference guide in Annex C, as well as online resources and publications posted at www.cropwatch.com.cn.

Chapter	Spatial coverage	Key indicators
Chapter 1	World, using Monitoring and Reporting Units (MRU), 65 large, agro-ecologically homogeneous units covering the globe	RAIN, TEMP, RADPAR, BIOMSS
Chapter 2	Major Production Zones (MPZ), six regions that contribute most to global food production	As above, plus CALF, VCIx, and VHIn
Chapter 3	30 key countries (main producers and exporters) and AEZs	As above plus NDVI and GVG survey
Chapter 4	China and regions	As above plus high resolution images; information on pests and diseases; and food import/export outlook
Chapter 5	Production outlook, a focus on the perspectives in crop production in Africa, and updates on disaster events and El Niño.	

This bulletin is organized as follows:

Regular updates and online resources

The bulletin is released quarterly in both English and Chinese. E-mail cropwatch@radi.ac.cn to sign up for the mailing list or visit CropWatch online at www.cropwatch.com.cn.

Executive summary

Introduction

The period from October 2017 to January 2018 (ONDJ) is a relatively quiet period from the agriculture point of view. In the temperate northern hemisphere summer crops have been harvested, while winter crops have been planted and are now mostly dormant. In tropical and equatorial countries of Asia and Brazil in South America, maize and rice had mostly reached harvest while wheat and second crop of maize and rice were at planting (see the crop calendars in chapter-3). In the southern hemisphere summer crops are at advanced development stages and nearing flowering, for example maize and soybean in Argentina, Brazil and South Africa.

The executive summary first describes significant global agroclimatic patterns and highlights countries with exceptional environmental conditions. After a summary of the current situation of crops in China the CropWatch estimates of agricultural production in the southern hemisphere are presented.

Agroclimatic patterns

Although global temperature was close to average (-0.1°C), tropical and equatorial areas were cooler than expected, while several areas in the temperate northern hemisphere in Eurasia and America experienced warmer than normal weather. Even at the very local scale, few extreme temperatures were observed.

Global radiation (sunshine) was 4% below average, which is significant and constitutes the continuation of a global pattern that started during the previous reporting period (JASO). The lowest values concentrated around the Baltic Sea and only few areas had positive sunshine anomalies. Very significant and record sunshine deficits are also reported mainly from China (Hainan, Lower Yangtze, Southern Japan and the southern fringe of the Korean peninsula.)

The clouds that reduced sunshine also increased precipitation, which was 8% above average. The spatial variability of rainfall was large, with relatively well defined surplus and deficit areas. The most severe droughts occurred in unconnected patches in the southern hemisphere: (1) the southern Cone of Latin America (Chile -51%; Uruguay -26%) and most provinces of Argentina; (2) Southern Africa,(3) East African Highlands and (4) New-Zealand. A large continent-wide drought affected the area; including the Mediterranean, the Middle East and western Asia (Pakistan, -48%) and extended into western central Asia. In this area Portugal (-65%) and Algeria (-63%) were the driest countries. Two remaining drought patches to mention include, eastern Asia with the Lower Yangtze region and the western coast of north America from Mexico to Canada.

Among the areas which recorded excess rainfall, the largest region covers the southern Baltic (Estonia +30%, Finland +32%, Germany and Lithuania +33%, Sweden +37%, Belarus, Poland and Norway +46% and extends across Asia as far as Azerbaijan (+60%) and Kyrgyzstan (+51%) and northern China. Around the Baltic, conditions were abnormal for all CropWatch agroclimatic indicators, resulting from warm, wet and cloudy weather. Others areas with above-normal rainfall include the Caribbean and Central America, Paraguay and Bolivia and adjacent areas for which frequent floods were reported.

Agronomic indicators

The impact of extreme weather conditions, especially drought, is directly assessed by the two main agronomic indicators used by CropWatch i.e. Cropped Arable Land Fraction (CALF), which measures how

much arable land is actually cropped, and Maximum Vegetation Condition Index, VCIx, which assesses local yield on a scale from 0 ("same as lowest ever") to 1 ("same as highest ever").

For the countries that produce 80% of the world's main cereals, VCIx reached 0.86 on an average. The lowest values occurred in Iran (0.51), Pakistan (0.67), Australia (0.67), Kazakhstan (0.67), South Africa (0.68) and China (0.70). High values occur in south-east Asia, Brazil (0.97) and some countries of the above-mentioned "Baltic" group (Poland, 1.00 and Ukraine, 1.04). The average CALF variation was +3%. The worst performers for the current reporting period (Canada -11% and Australia -7%) include two of the main global wheat exporters. At the high end are Ukraine (+13%), Iran (+14%), and Pakistan (+16%). Area increase is expected to compensate for yield drops in three countries: winter wheat in Iran (CALF+14%, VCIx 0.51), wheat in Pakistan (+16%, 0.67) and summer crops in Argentina (+8%, 0.71). However, at this stage it is not known, to what extent the "compensation" will ensure satisfactory production.

China

Generally, the Northeast and Inner Mongolia regions do not have any crops in the field at the time of reporting because temperature is too low for winter crops. Hibernating winter wheat is present in all other regions. The current precipitation (as rain or snow) will eventually benefit the crops after the winter dormancy phase.

China recorded rather different crop condition as compared to same period (ONDJ) of the previous cropping season: RAIN -5% (versus +12% in 2016-17), TEMP -0.3°C (Vs. +0.5°C) but the RADPAR deviation was identical, reaching -12% during both seasons. In 2017-18 sunshine was particularly poor in the Lower Yangtze region (RADPAR -18%). So far agroclimatic conditions were mostly unfavorable for the winter crops in China. At the sub-national scale, rainfall was significantly above average in Huanghuaihai, Inner Mongolia and the Loess region, whereas the Lower Yangtze and South-West China experienced the largest deficits. Rainfall was close to average in North East China and Southern China.

At the end of January, CropWatch rainfall departure cluster analysis shows average rainfall in about 62% of croplands, mainly located in the northeast and southwest. 9% of croplands (in the southeast) were generally below average. CALF declined (-3% of 5YA), however BIOMSS (+7% of 5YA) was above average in almost all agroecological zones of China, except in the Lower Yangtze region and Southwest China. In contrast, CALF was below average in most regions of China except in the Loess region. Uncropped arable lands are mainly located in the northern parts of Gansu and Shaanxi provinces, Shanxi province, Hebei province. Winter crops in central and southern Henan province, and northern Anhui province were covered by snow according to satellite images.

The Loess region reports VCIx value at 0.83, While all other regions in China present lower than 0.8 and follow a pattern which is consistent with those of uncropped and cropped arable land.

Compared with previous years, the incidence of pests and diseases was relatively large during the 2017-18 winter in the main wheat growing regions of China. Temperature and rainfall forecasts for the 2018 spring indicate potential for more serious pest and disease damage than in previous years.

Chinese grain imports are projected to increase in 2018, particularly for Maize (+16.9% over 2017), while soybean imports will increase only slightly in 2018.

Southern hemisphere production

The production outlook in the current bulletin includes only the major producers in the southern hemisphere, as assessments for the northern hemisphere would be too hypothetical at this early stage in the season.

CropWatch puts the winter wheat production at 11.08 million tonnes for Argentina, a significant drop of 4.7% below the previous year's value, resulting from the combined decrease of yield (-1.6%) as well as cultivated area (-3.2%). In Australia, the drop in wheat production reached 22.1% with 24.606 million tonnes output. Again, poor climatic conditions are to blame in a mostly semi-arid setting which has demonstrated huge variability in the past. Brazil is one of the smallest wheat producer in the hemisphere, but it is nevertheless one of the most dependable: production reached 7.876 million tonnes, up 4% over 2016-17. In South-Africa, the current seasons output is estimated by CropWatch at 1.356 million tonnes, corresponding to a drop of 20.4% compared with the previous season. Drought, the main factor behind the poor performance of South African wheat is likely to take its toll on the current maize summer crop as well.

Chapter 1. Global agroclimatic patterns

Chapter 1 describes the CropWatch Agroclimatic Indicators (CWAIs) rainfall (RAIN), temperature (TEMP), and radiation (RADPAR), along with the agronomic indicator for potential biomass (BIOMSS) in sixty-five global Monitoring and Reporting Units (MRU). Rainfall, temperature, and radiation indicators are compared to their average value for the same period over the last fifteen years (called the "average"), while BIOMSS is compared to the indicator's average of the recent five years. Indicator values for all MRUs are included in Annex A table A.1. For more information about the MRUs and indicators, please see Annex C and online CropWatch resources at www.cropwatch.com.cn.

1.1 Overview

Over the current reporting period and based on findings from all 65 MRUs, the CropWatch indicator with the largest variability in departure from average conditions is temperature (as measured by the coefficient of variation of TEMP departures from average for all 65 units), followed by rainfall (RAIN), BIOMSS and radiation (RADPAR). Nevertheless, global temperature was close to average (-0.1 °C), while rainfall was 8% above average and radiation 4% below, which is significant and constitutes the continuation of globally low radiation values highlighted in the previous CropWatch bulletin. In general, for the reporting period, no significant correlation exists between the intensity of RAIN and BIOMSS and their departures from average. However, the correlation is negative for TEMP, i.e. warm climates had large negative departures, which is clearly visible in figure 1.2. There is positive correlation for RADPAR.

Starting with rainfall, the sections below will focus on the description of anomaly patterns (see also figures 1.1 through 1.4).

1.2 Abnormal rainfall patterns

The driest areas occur in four relatively isolated southern patches in Western Patagonia (MRU-27, -50%) and the adjacent semiarid southern cone (MRU-28, -20%), the Nordeste in Brazil (MRU-22, -11%), Southwest Madagascar (MRU-06, -48%) and New-Zealand (MRU-56, -48%). This is followed by a large contiguous area including almost all of Africa (except the Gulf of Guinea, MRU-03, with a slight deficit of -7%), the Mediterranean, the Middle East and western Asia extending into western central Asia. In this large ensemble, water deficit affected mostly the periphery in the north and the east: MRU-07, North Africa with a deficit of 39%, Mediterranean Europe and Turkey (MRU-59, -35%) and MRU-02, the East African highlands with a deficit of 34%. In western Asia (MRU-31) and the Ural to the Altai mountain range (MRU-62) the deficit is less severe at -15% and -13%, respectively.

The two remaining rainfall deficit patches include: two MRUs at -24% (MRU-43, East Asia; MRU-37, Lower Yangtze) as well as South-west China (MRU-41 at -18%) and a band extending from Florida to California and British Columbia with the following departure values: -29% in the Cotton Belt to NE Mexico (MRU-14); -28% along the western USA Coast (MRU-16) and -13% in the south-western USA and the Mexican highlands (MRU-18).

Large positive departures occur essentially in one area of major agricultural relevance, encompassing Huanghuahai (MRU-34) and the Loess region of China (MRU-36) at +47% and +113%, respectively. Adjacent areas less important for crops (but not for livestock) are listed hereafter by increasing values of rainfall excess: MRU-39 (Qinghai-Tibet, +36%), Gansu-Xinjiang (MRU-32, +80%) and southern Mongolia